

GEV PHOTONS FROM UP-SCATTERING OF SUPERNOVA SHOCK BREAKOUT X-RAYS BY AN OUTSIDE GRB JET

XIANG-YU WANG^{1,2} AND PETER MÉSZÁROS^{1,3}

Draft version February 5, 2008

ABSTRACT

Shock breakout X-ray emission has been reported for the first time from a supernova connected with a gamma-ray burst, namely GRB060218/SN2006aj. The gamma-ray emission and the power-law decaying X-ray afterglow are ascribed to a highly relativistic jet, while the thermal soft X-rays are thought to be produced when the radiation-dominated shock breaks from the optically thick stellar wind. We study the inverse Compton emission of the breakout thermal soft X-rays scattered by relativistic electrons in the jet forward shock, which is expected to be at larger radii than the breakout shock. This IC emission produces sub-GeV to GeV photons, which may be detectable by GLAST. The detection of such GeV photons simultaneously with the supernova shock breakout emission would give evidence for the presence of a GRB jet ahead of the shock while the shock is breaking out. The anisotropic scattering between the X-rays and relativistic electrons may lead to large angle emission outside of the jet opening angle. This has implications for the detection of GeV photons from burstless type Ib/c hypernova shock breakout, which due to its more isotropic emission might be observed with wide-field X-ray cameras such as LOBSTER.

Subject headings: gamma rays: bursts—supernovae: general—radiation mechanisms: non-thermal

1. INTRODUCTION

Recent observations of GRB060128/SN2006aj (Modjaz et al. 2006; Sollerman et al. 2006; Pian et al. 2006; Mazali et al. 2006), aside from providing new evidence that gamma-ray bursts (GRBs) are connected with supernovae (SNe), also for the first time provide direct evidence for shock breakout soft thermal X-ray emission (Campana et al. 2006). The gamma-rays are, as usual, thought to arise from the internal dissipation of ultra-relativistic jets. The power-law decaying afterglow at times $> 10^4$ sec is consistent with this standard picture of GRBs (e.g. Zhang & Mészáros 2004; Piran 2005). The thermal soft X-ray emission is interpreted as arising from the breakout of a radiation-dominated shock, which could be driven by the cocoon of the relativistic jet (Mészáros & Rees 2001; Ramirez-Ruiz et al. 2002; Zhang et al. 2003), the slower outer parts of the jet, or the outermost parts of the envelope which get accelerated to a mildly relativistic velocity (Colgate 1974; Tan et al. 2001). The relativistic jet accelerates after leaving the supernova photosphere and runs ahead of the shock while the less relativistic shock is breaking out from the stellar wind. The observed breakout X-ray emission continues after the end of the GRB prompt emission and last until the early afterglow phase. It contains two components, a thermal component and a non-thermal one. The thermal component becomes increasingly dominant during the first 3000 sec after the trigger of this GRB. Since the relativistic GRB jet is expected to be located outside of the breakout shock, we point out here that the incoming shock X-rays will be up-scattered by the non-thermal electrons accelerated in the afterglow forward shock to GeV energies.

Gamma-ray emission from bremsstrahlung and inverse-Compton emission of thermal electrons during the supernova shock breakout was suggested by Colgate (1974). Tan et al. (2001) argued that gamma-rays of GRB980425 associated with SN1998bw are produced by the interaction of a mildly relativistic ejecta, which results from the acceleration of the supernova shock, interacting with a dense circumstellar wind. Waxman & Loeb (2001) suggested that during the shock breakout of type II supernovae, the shock may transition to a collisionless one and accelerate non-thermal electrons which might produce hard X-ray flares. In these scenarios, no GRB jets are required, leaving a power-law decaying X-ray afterglow still in need of interpretation. The strong soft X-ray thermal photon bath and the compact size of the progenitor star of type Ib/c supernova would combine to make a large pair production absorption optical depth for GeV photons if they could be produced in this scenario. This is different from the case of scattering by the jet forward shock electrons, in which GeV photons do not suffer significant absorption because the jet has a relativistic motion and reached a much larger radius. We suggest that detection of GeV emission accompanying supernova shock breakout will favor the normal scenario that involves a highly relativistic jet to produce the gamma-ray emission in supernova-connected GRBs like GRB060218/SN2006aj.

In section 2, we first study the emission signature of this IC process and then consider the anisotropic scattering effect and pair production absorption effect on the received IC flux in section 3 and 4 respectively. Finally we give the conclusions.

¹Department of Astronomy and Astrophysics, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802, USA; xywang@astro.psu.edu, nnp@astro.psu.edu

²Department of Astronomy, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, China

³Center for Gravitational Wave Physics and Department of Physics, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802, USA

2. INVERSE COMPTON SCATTERING OF THE SN SHOCK BREAKOUT X-RAYS BY AFTERGLOW SHOCK ELECTRONS

We consider the inverse Compton (IC) scattering of shock breakout X-rays after the end of the prompt gamma-ray emission but before the sharp X-ray decay, namely from 1000 sec to 3000 sec after the GRB trigger. During this time, the X-ray emission detected by *Swift* XRT can be divided into two components, one being a thermal component and the other a non-thermal one (Campana et al, 2006). The thermal X-ray component becomes increasingly dominant and has a luminosity of $\sim 10^{46} \text{ergs}^{-1}$. After ~ 3000 s, the X-ray emission undergoes a sharp decay and an X-ray afterglow decaying as a power law in time emerges later. The underlying afterglow flux extrapolated from the late power-law decaying afterglow is more than 30 times lower than the thermal X-ray emission, and is not enough to account for the non-thermal emission of the previous phase. This early non-thermal component could come from the shock breakout itself or from the extended internal shock emission.

The magnetic field energy density in the forward shock frame is lower than that of the breakout X-ray emission if the equipartition factor $\epsilon_B < 0.1 L_{X,46} E_{50}^{-1} t_3$ (hereafter $C_n = C/10^n$ in c.g.s. units). This implies that the shock breakout X-ray emission is the dominant cooling source for the early afterglow forward shock electrons. Below we will show that the strong shock breakout X-ray emission will cause the afterglow electrons to be in the fast cooling regime, and therefore most energy of the shocked electrons during this period goes into the IC emission.

The gamma-ray emission of GRB060218 is weak compared to typical GRB with an isotropic gamma-ray energy $E_\gamma \simeq 10^{50} \text{erg}$. Here we consider a jet with isotropic kinetic energy $E = 10^{50} \text{erg}$ expanding in a surrounding wind medium with density profile

$$\rho = Kr^{-2}, K \equiv \frac{\dot{M}}{4\pi v_w}, \quad (1)$$

where $\dot{M}$ is the mass-loss rate and v_w is the wind velocity. From energy conservation of the adiabatic shock, we can get the Lorentz factor and radius of the afterglow shock (Chevalier & Li 1999),

$$\Gamma = 4.3 E_{50}^{1/4} \dot{m}^{-1/4} t_3^{-1/4}, \quad (2)$$

$$R = 1.7 \times 10^{15} E_{50}^{1/2} \dot{m}^{-1/2} t_3^{1/2} \text{cm} \quad (3)$$

where $\dot{m} \equiv (\dot{M}/10^{-5} M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1})/(v_w/10^3 \text{kms}^{-1})$

From $L_X = 4\pi R^2 \Gamma^2 U'_X c$, we have that the energy density of shock breakout X-ray photons in the forward shock frame is $U'_X = L_X/(4\pi \Gamma^2 R^2 c)$, where $L_X = 10^{46} \text{ergs}^{-1}$ is the observed luminosity of the shock breakout X-rays. The Lorentz factor of the electrons which cool by IC scattering of shock breakout X-rays in the dynamical time, $R/\Gamma c$, is

$$\gamma_c = \frac{3\pi m_e c^3 \Gamma^3 R}{\sigma_T L_X} = 6 L_{X,46}^{-1} E_{50}^{7/8} \dot{m}^{-7/8} t_3^{-1/4}, \quad (4)$$

⁴The real contribution to the X-ray flux by the synchrotron emission would be suppressed due to the enhanced cooling of electrons by the shock breakout X-ray emission (Wang et al. 2006).

while the minimum Lorentz factor of the post-shock electrons is

$$\gamma_m = \bar{\epsilon}_e \frac{m_p}{m_e} (\Gamma - 1) \simeq 610 \bar{\epsilon}_{e,-1} E_{50}^{1/4} \dot{m}^{-1/4} t_3^{-1/4} \quad (5)$$

where $\bar{\epsilon}_e$ is the usual equipartition factor (ϵ_e) of shocked electrons multiplied by a factor of $(p-2)/(p-1)$ (p is the energy distribution index of the electrons). So if the breakout emission has a luminosity larger than

$$L_X > 2 \times 10^{44} \bar{\epsilon}_{e,-1}^{-1} E_{50}^{5/8} \dot{m}^{-5/8} \text{ergs}^{-1}, \quad (6)$$

one obtains $\gamma_m > \gamma_c$, which implies that most of the energy of the afterglow shocked electrons during the shock breakout period would go into the IC emission.

As the forward shock propagates in the surrounding medium, the energy that goes into the newly shocked electrons per unit observer's time is $L_e = 2 \times 10^{46} \bar{\epsilon}_{e,-1} E_{50} t_3^{-1} \text{erg}$ (Wang, Li & Mészáros 2006). The bolometric luminosity L_{IC} of the IC emission is equal to L_e , so the total energy loss of the shocked electrons during the time from 1000 sec to 3000 sec is about $E_{IC} \simeq 2 \times 10^{49} \bar{\epsilon}_{e,-1} E_{50} \text{erg}$ and the total IC emission fluence is

$$\Psi_{IC} = 10^{-5} \bar{\epsilon}_{e,-1} E_{50} \left(\frac{D}{145 \text{Mpc}} \right)^{-2} \text{ergcm}^{-2}, \quad (7)$$

where $D = 145 \text{Mpc}$ is the distance of GRB060218/SN2006aj. The observed IC νF_ν flux peaks at

$$\varepsilon_{IC,p} \simeq 2\gamma_m^2 (3kT) = 0.2 \bar{\epsilon}_{e,-1}^2 E_{50}^{1/2} \dot{m}^{-1/2} t_3^{-1/2} \left(\frac{kT}{0.1 \text{KeV}} \right) \text{GeV} \quad (8)$$

where $kT \simeq 0.16 \text{KeV}$ is the black body temperature of the thermal spectrum of the shock breakout emission (Campana et al. 2006). The IC energy spectrum (νF_ν) has indices of $1/2$ and $-(p-2)/2$ before and after the break at $\varepsilon_{IC,p}$ respectively. The upcoming GLAST LAT detector has an effective detection area of 10^4cm^2 , so it can detect the sub-GeV to GeV photons with the above flux, and may even identify the peak energy of this IC emission.

The jet afterglow electrons may also produce high energy emission through the self-synchrotron Compton process (Zhang & Mészáros 2001). However, based on the assumption that the extrapolated synchrotron flux during the early afterglow is > 30 times lower than the shock breakout X-ray flux⁴, the self-synchrotron Compton flux is then lower by the same factor.

After the shock breaks out of the optically thick wind, its emission drops very sharply, and the jet afterglow emission becomes dominant. The remaining energy, $E - E_{IC}$, of the jet continues to power the power-law decaying afterglow at later times. The jet is likely to enter into the sub-relativistic phase around $t \sim 10^5 - 10^6$ sec for a low burst energy, and the power decay rate should transition from $t^{\frac{2-3p}{4}}$ for the relativistic phase (Dai & Lu 1998; Chevalier & Li 1999) to $t^{\frac{8-7p}{6}}$ for the sub-relativistic one (Waxman 2004) when the cooling frequency is below the X-ray band. However, for $p \sim 2$, the decay index almost does not change, and is consistent with the observed single decay rate $F_X \propto t^{-1.15 \pm 0.15}$ (Cusumano 2006).

3. ANISOTROPIC INVERSE COMPTON SCATTERING EFFECT ON THE RECEIVED IC FLUX AND THE CASE OF OFF-AXIS JETS

The shock breakout emission comes from the region of the immediate stellar wind surrounding the SN/GRB progenitor at $R \sim 5 \times 10^{12}$ cm (Campana et al. 2006), which is much smaller than the afterglow shock radius, so the shock breakout X-ray photons move outward almost radially, viewed from the afterglow region. The seed photons are anisotropic seen by the isotropically distributed electrons in the forward shock, so the scatterings between photons and relativistic electrons are anisotropic and there would be more head-on scatterings (e.g. Ghisellini 1991). In the comoving frame of the forward shock, the IC emission power depends on the relative angle θ_s between the scattered photon direction and the seed photon beam direction as

$$P(\theta_s)d\theta_s \propto (1 - \cos\theta_s)^{\frac{q+1}{2}} \sin\theta_s d\theta_s \quad (9)$$

(e.g. Brunetti 2000; Fan & Piran 2006), where q is the power-law energy distribution index of the scattering electrons. For fast-cooling of relativistic electrons in our case, $q = p + 1 \simeq 3$. The factor $(1 - \cos\theta_s)^{\frac{q+1}{2}}$ results in more power emitted at large angles relative to the seed photon beam direction. By simple algebraical calculation, one can obtain the fraction of photons scattered into the angles $0 \lesssim \theta_s \lesssim \pi/2$ in the shock frame (corresponding to the $1/\Gamma$ cone of the relativistic afterglow jet in the observer frame due to aberration of light), i.e.

$$f_s \simeq \frac{\int_0^{\pi/2} (1 - \cos\theta_s)^2 \sin\theta_s d\theta_s}{\int_0^{\pi} (1 - \cos\theta_s)^2 \sin\theta_s d\theta_s} = \frac{1}{8}. \quad (10)$$

Similarly, one can get that the fraction of photons falling into the cone of $2/\Gamma$ (corresponding to $\theta_s = 2\arctg 2$) is $1/2$. So the effect of increased head-on scattering can decrease the IC emission in the $1/\Gamma$ cone along the direction of the photon beam, but enhance the emission at larger angles, if the jet has an opening angle $\theta_j \lesssim 1/\Gamma$. However if the opening angle is $\theta_j \gg 1/\Gamma$, the jet geometry can be approximately regarded as a sphere, and the observed IC power after integration over angles should be the same in every direction in the observer frame (Wang, Li & Mészáros 2006; Fan & Piran 2006). So, the received IC fluence will no longer be reduced. At $t \sim 10^3$ sec, the jet Lorentz factor is $\Gamma = 4.3E_{50}^{1/4}\dot{m}^{-1/4}$. So for typical mass-loss rate with $\dot{m} = 1$ and a weak burst such as GRB060218 with energy $E = 10^{50}$ erg, a jet with an opening angle $\theta_j > 1/\Gamma \simeq 0.25$ is needed to avoid significant reduction of the received IC fluence. Considering that the jet has a low energy, such an opening angle may not be unreasonable.

An interesting phenomenon arises when the jet opening angle is not larger than $1/\Gamma$ (i.e. $\theta_j \simeq 1/\Gamma$) and the observer lies outside of the cone of the GRB jet, e.g. lies between $1/\Gamma$ and $2/\Gamma$, the probability of which may be somewhat larger than the case within the $1/\Gamma$ cone. The observer would miss the GRB, but may still detect the IC emission associated with the SN shock breakout because the prompt gamma-ray flux decreases very sharply

as $[\Gamma_0(\theta_{obs} - \theta_0)]^{-6}$ while the anisotropic IC emission decreases modestly with the relative angle (almost constant within $2/\Gamma$), where Γ_0 is the jet initial Lorentz factor, θ_{obs} and θ_0 are the observing angle relative to the jet axis and jet opening angle respectively. The break out X-ray emission could be detected by wide-field X-ray detectors such as the future LOBSTER mission⁵ (Calzavara & Matzner 2004) even if there is no trigger in a GRB detector. LOBSTER would have the capability of detecting the shock breakout X-ray flash from type II supernova explosions up to 100Mpc, as the shocked gas of type II supernova is predicted to emit $\sim 10^{45}$ ergs⁻¹ with an effective temperature of 2×10^5 K (Klein and Chevalier 1978, Ensmann and Burrows 1992). For shock breakout from type Ib/c supernovae like SN2006aj, the soft X-ray flux is larger by about two orders of magnitude, so LOBSTER could detect this kind of explosions up to ~ 1 Gpc. The IC emission will be delayed relative to the shock break out emission due to a longer light travel distance. It is important to note that this IC GeV emission will not be polluted by other possible high energy emission processes from the jet itself.

Another nearby supernova connected GRB, GRB031203/SN2003lw, which is a similarly weak burst (Sazonov et al. 2004; Soderberg et al. 2004; Watson et al. 2004), has been suggested to be a possible off-axis burst (Ramirez-Ruiz et al. 2005), i.e. the line of sight of the observer is outside of the jet opening angle. If this type Ic SN also has a shock breakout soft X-ray emission like GRB060218/SN2006aj, which is possibly not observed due to the energy threshold of the *INTEGRAL* detector, GeV photons produced by the upscattering of these X-rays by relativistic electrons in the jet forward shock are expected to have a fluence detectable with GLAST, considering that the on-axis isotropic energy of the jet could be $E \sim 10^{53}$ erg (Ramirez-Ruiz et al. 2005).

4. PAIR PRODUCTION OPACITY FOR HIGH ENERGY PHOTONS

Here we consider the pair production opacity and the high energy photon cutoff due to the absorption by the shock breakout thermal photons. First we calculate the optical depth for high energy photons that originate from some possible non-thermal process occurring in the shock breakout itself. The optical depth for high energy photons, $\varepsilon_\gamma = 2(m_e c^2)^2/\varepsilon_X = 5(kT/0.1\text{keV})^{-1}\text{GeV}$, annihilating with thermal photons of characteristic energy $\varepsilon_X = kT$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\gamma\gamma} &= 0.1\sigma_T \left(\frac{L}{4\pi R^2 c kT} \right) \left(\frac{R}{\eta} \right) \\ &= 10^5 L_{46} \left(\frac{R}{5 \times 10^{12} \text{cm}} \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{\eta}{20} \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{kT}{0.1\text{keV}} \right)^{-1} \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where $R = 5 \times 10^{12}$ cm is the inferred radius of the breakout shock, R/η is shocked shell thickness. Considering the exponential tail of the Planck function of the thermal spectrum, this very large optical depth will induce a cutoff at

$$E_{cut} \simeq \varepsilon_\gamma / \ln(\tau_{\gamma\gamma}) \simeq 0.4(kT/0.1\text{keV})^{-1}\text{GeV}. \quad (12)$$

Then we calculate the optical depth for high energy photons produced through the up-scattering of breakout X-rays by afterglow shock electrons. The energy of the photons annihilating with the thermal photons with characteristic energy $\varepsilon_X = kT$ is $\varepsilon_\gamma =$

⁵see <http://www.src.le.ac.uk/projects/lobster/>

$2\Gamma^2(m_e c^2)^2/\varepsilon_X \simeq 90(\Gamma/4.3)^2(kT/0.1\text{keV})^{-1}\text{GeV}$, where Γ is the bulk Lorentz factor of the afterglow shock. The pair production absorption optical depth for this energy is

$$\tau_{\gamma\gamma} = 0.1\sigma_T \left(\frac{U'_X}{kT/\Gamma}\right) \left(\frac{R}{\Gamma}\right) = 400L_{46}E_{50}^{-1}\dot{m} \left(\frac{kT}{0.1\text{keV}}\right)^{-1}. \quad (13)$$

In this case, for representative parameter values, the cutoff energy is

$$E_{\text{cut}} \simeq \varepsilon_\gamma \ln(\tau_{\gamma\gamma}) \simeq 15E_{50}^{1/2}\dot{m}^{-1/2}t_3^{-1/2}(kT/0.1\text{keV})^{-1}\text{GeV}. \quad (14)$$

Thus, we conclude that, at the radius of the shock breakout, only a negligible fraction of $\sim \text{GeV}$ photons can escape from the strong thermal photon bath, while at the larger radius of the afterglow shock, up to $\sim 10 \text{ GeV}$ photons can escape the pair production absorption⁶. This implies that future detections of GeV photons accompanying the SN shock breakout in GRBs like GRB060218/SN2006aj can provide evidence that there exists a relativistic jet ahead of the supernova shock break out.

5. CONCLUSIONS

The large energy and thermal spectrum of the soft X-ray emission observed in the early stages of a nearby GRB/SN, GRB060218/SN2006aj, supports the view that this arises from a radiation-dominated shock which is breaking out of the strong stellar wind. The prompt gamma-ray emission and the power law decaying afterglow can be interpreted conventionally as a highly relativistic jet, which presumably has moved to larger radii while the shock is breaking out. In this paper we have suggested that the upscattering of the shock breakout soft X-rays by relativistic electrons in the GRB jet forward shock would produce sub-GeV to GeV photons that could be detected by GLAST.

It is possible that some nonthermal processes in the breakout shock itself could also produce GeV emission.

However, in this case, the photons with energies above a few hundreds of MeV cannot escape the absorption by the abundant soft photons, and will be undetectable. Thus, detection of high energy photons with energies above GeV accompanying the shock breakout of GRBs/SNe like GRB060218/SN2006aj will favor the scenario involving a relativistic jet producing the GRB, which outruns the slower supernova shock.

We also discussed the anisotropic IC scattering effect on the received GeV fluence. When the jet opening angle θ_0 is significantly larger than the beaming angle of the jet, $1/\Gamma$, at the considered time, the geometry is equivalent to the isotropic case for observers inside the jet angle cone, and the received fluence will not be depressed. For a weak burst like GRB060218 and a typical stellar wind, this requires that the jet opening angle is larger than 0.25 radian, which may be reasonable considering that the jet has a low energy. However, for a jet with an opening angle comparable to $1/\Gamma$, the received fluence will be reduced by a factor of ~ 8 . Nonetheless, in the event of GRB060218/SN2006aj, even considering this effect the sub-GeV photon fluence could be detected by GLAST.

The anisotropic IC scattering effect can reduce the emission inside the $1/\Gamma$ cone of the jet, but enhance the emission at larger angles. This has potentially important implications for detecting GeV emission from “burstless” (untriggered) hypernovae during the early stage of their explosions, when the observer lies off-axis respect to the GRB jet. The shock breakout soft X-ray flash could still be observed by wide-field X-ray cameras, such as the proposed future LOBSTER mission, although they would not trigger GRB detectors.

XYW would like to thank Z. G. Dai and Zhuo Li for useful discussions. This work is supported by NASA NAG5-13286, NSF AST 0307376, and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (for XYW).

REFERENCES

- Brunetti, G. 2000, *Astroparticle Physics*, 13, 107
 Calzavara, A. J. & Matzner, C. D., 2004, *MNRAS*, 351, 694
 Campana, S. et al. 2006, *subm. Nature*, astro-ph/0603279
 Colgate, S. A. 1974, *ApJ*, 187, 333
 Cusumano, G. et al. 2006, *GCN* 4786.
 Dai, Z. G. & Lu, T., 1998, *MNRAS*, 298, 87
 Ensmann, L. & Burrows, A., 1992, *ApJ*, 393, 742
 Fan, Y. Z. & Piran, T., 2006, astro-ph/0601619
 Ghisellini, G. et al. 1991, *MNRAS*, 248, 14
 Klein, R. I. & Chevalier, R. A. 1978, *ApJ*, 223, L109
 Mazzali, P. A. et al. 2006 *subm. Nature*, astro-ph/0603567
 Mészáros, P. & Rees, M. J. 2001, *ApJ*, 556, L37
 Modjaz, M. et al. 2006, *subm. ApJ*, astro-ph/0603377
 Pian, E. et al. 2006, *subm. Nature*, astro-ph/0603530
 Piran, T., 2005, *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, 76, 1143
 Ramirez-Ruiz, E., Celotti, A. & Rees, M. J., 2002, *MNRAS*, 337, 1349
 Ramirez-Ruiz, E. et al., 2005, *ApJ*, 625, L91
 Sazonov, S. Y., Lutovinov, A. A. & Synyaev, R. A., 2004, *Nature*, 430, 646
 Soderberg, A. M. et al. 2004, *Nature*, 430, 648
 Sollerman, J. et al. 2006, *subm. A&A*, astro-ph/0603495
 Tan, J. C., Matzner, C. D. & McKee, C., 2001, *ApJ*, 551, 946
 Watson, D. et al. 2004, *ApJ*, 605, L101
 Wang, X. Y., Li, Z. & Mészáros, P., 2006, *ApJ*, 641, L89
 Waxman, E. & Loeb, A., 2001, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 87, 071101
 Waxman, E. 2004, *ApJ*, 602, 886
 Zhang, B., & Mészáros, P. 2001, *ApJ*, 559, 110
 Zhang, B. & Mészáros, P., 2004, *Int. Journ. of Mod. Phys. A*, 19, 2385
 Zhang, W. Q., Woosley, S. E. & MacFadyen, A. I., 2003, *ApJ*, 586, 356

⁶Very high energy photons, say $> 10\text{TeV}$ photons, may not have large pair production absorption, but the flux is too low to be detectable except when the spectrum is very flat.